Contracts II

Spring 2023

LAW 103 (003) Monday-Wednesday, 9:50 –11:15 am

F.H. Buckley fbuckley@gmu.edu

Contracts II is the second part of a two-part course, following Contracts I in the prior Fall Term. The two courses are separate, with different exams and different subject matters.

The casebook is Robert E. Scott and Jody S. Kraus, *Contract Law and Theory* (5th ed.), as well as the statutory supplement from first term. If I thought it helpful, I'd assign a treatise. I don't and I won't. On occasion I'll refer you to an article you might read. Apart from that, the assiduous student can easily find further readings on the web, and at times I'll assume that you've done that. I'll also assume that you've been taught how to access periodical literature off Lexis and (from the GMU Library web site) JSTOR and HeinOnline.

Administrative Matters

The class will be an extended conversation about a fundamental institution of private ordering. For the most part, the problems we'll encounter can be answered by the application of common sense—a proposition I'll test by asking you to think about the contours of contract law before and during class. Each student is expected to be prepared for every class, and to be ready, willing and able to answer any questions regarding the assigned reading material. Occasionally you'll encounter unfamiliar legal terms in your

readings, and when this happens you are responsible for looking them up in a legal dictionary such as Blacks (which is available on Lexis and Westlaw).

When you speak in class, address yourself to the entire class. If you're in the front row and whisper, people at the back won't hear you. Neither will I, for that matter. You are aspiring members of a profession whose members must speak out, if they are to be successful. We aren't meant to be potted plants.

In the Syllabus I provide the readings in segments of two classes at a time. Because we begin on a Wednesday, that's not on a week-by-week basis.

Once each year a student tells me he's erased a file—which is why I recommend saving files on the cloud, through programs such as Dropbox.

I use PowerPoint, and will post my slides on my web site after each class. You'll find them at my (discontinued) blog at http://buckleysmix.com ("blog"), under "Contracts II." These may be downloaded and saved by you, but not shared with anyone outside of this class.

PowerPoint has its pluses and minuses. It's useful to highlight a point, and invaluable when illustrating a technical issue in economics. That said, it can lull students into a state of passivity. Don't let that happen. For my part I'll try to avoid that by calling on you to answer questions. For your part, you should want to discuss ideas and cases in class. It's the only way to learn.

You are not permitted to tape our classes or record them by any electronic means.

I don't know whether office visits will be permitted this spring. If they are, my office is on the 4th Floor, and my phone number is 703-993-8028. Unless a matter requires immediate personal attention, I encourage you to contact me at fbuckley@gmu.edu. If you anticipate that you will be stopping by my office, please drop me an email or let me know after class that you will be coming. If my door is open and I'm not on the phone, feel free to drop in.

Grading and Exam

The Final Exam will be on Thursday, April 27 at noon. Your grade in the class will be based on the final exam. I reserve the right to increase (but not decrease) a student's grade by one mark (e.g., B+ to A-) on the basis of exemplary class participation, and on average have done so for 10-15 per cent of the students.

First Assignment

The assignment for the first class is the readings for Class 1 below.

Reading Assignments Contracts II (Spring 2023)

Classes (two at a time)	Questions	Assignments
	Exam Review	
1 A:97	Common Law Illegality	
	Contracts might be void either for illegality as a matter of common law ("common law	Printing and Numerical Reg. Co. v. Sampson, at blog
	illegality") or statute ("statutory illegality"). But when should a contract, freely entered into by	John Stuart Mill, at blog Scott 480-487
	parties of full capacity, and mutually beneficial, be held to be unenforceable?	Virginia Code, at blog
	Explain the <i>in pari delicto</i> and	Rest. §§ 178-79, 192-96, 189, 190 (illustrations 3 and 5)
	the ex turpi causa maxims.	Revised UCC § 1-103(b)
	Should every contract that violates a statute be unenforceable?	Rest. §§ 179, 181 (illustrations 1-4),
	Should a state seek to shape the moral character of its citizens? Note that those who think that it should are called perfectionists, while those who think not are called neutralists.	Scott 487-500 Humane Society, Animal Fighting at https://www.humanesociety.org/all-our-fights/ending-animal-fighting

	What reasons can you give for refusing to enforce a contract, from an economic perspective? Are there other, non-economic reasons why a contract should be unenforceable?	Externalities, at http://www.econlib.org/library/Enc/Externalities.html Social Capital, at https://www.investopedia.com/terms/s/socialcapital.asp Slippery Slopes, at http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Slippery_slope
2: B:167	Capacity What are the assumptions behind the claim that contracts entail Paretian improvements?	Rational Choice, at blog Kaldor-Hicks Efficiency, at http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kaldor%E2%80%93Hicks_efficiency
	A contract is not enforceable if one of the parties lacked capacity to enter into it ("paternalism"). How broad should the capacity barrier be? Could you improve on agerelated standards of capacity for minors? Are merchants adequately protected against the defense of incapacity, and who pays if they are not?	<u>Children</u> Scott 464-73 Rest. §§ 12-14
	Is there a stronger argument for merchant protection when the defense of lack of capacity is raised with respect to the mentally incapable?	The Mentally Incapable Scott 473-80, 14-18 Rest. §§ 15-16

	Should the definition of	Cognitive Paternalism
	incapacity be broadened, to take account of new studies in behavioral law-and-economics?	Self-serving bias, at https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/in-practice/201301/the-self-serving-bias-definition-research-and-antidotes
		Hindsight bias, at http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MW_w5CO0-fl
		Gerg Gigerenzer, at http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DdEEwoKkfMA (up to minute 10.08)
	Akrasia (weakness of the will)	Resisting Temptation, at http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=G7LN96jEXHc
		The Divided Self: St Augustine, Confessions, at blog
		Rational Addiction, at http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rational_addiction
		Baby M, Scott 487-99
		Self-binding
		Ulysses and the Sirens, The Odyssey XII, paragraphs 5, 13-16, at blog
3:	Fraud	
C:125	What constitutes a representation? When should a	Scott 420-48
	promisee be responsible for his own excessive reliance? What is	Rest. §§ 159, 162-64, 167-73, 211
	a "mere puff"? What is the parol evidence rule? Can the parties bargain around liability for fraud?	UCC § 2-316
	When should silence be a defense and when is an	Scott 438-64
	omission to speak actionable as	Rest. §§ 160-61

	fraud?	
	naud:	Cicero, Offices, at blog
		Aquinas, Summa, at blog
4:	Statute of Frauds	
D:75	What purpose does the Statute	Scott 514-33
	serve? What constitutes a note or memorandum in writing? What is part performance?	Rest. §§ 110-12, 124, 125, 129-132, 134, 136, 139, 90, 145
		Rest. §§ 124 (illustrations 1 and 5, comments a-d), 129 (comment a, illustrations 1-3), 130 (comment a), 131 (illustration 2), 134 (illustrations 1, 3)
E:66	Duress	
	When is duress actionable, in the absence of physical force?	UCC §§ 2-201, 1-201(37) Scott 403-17, 380-89
	Can a defense of duress be asserted against one who merely	,
	threatens to do that which he	Rest. §§ 174-77, 73, 89
	otherwise has a right to do?	The Highwaymen, at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UDPgJ8g91ek
		The Highwayman, at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aFkcAH-m9W0
	Can you explain why the admiralty rule as to rescues at sea might make economic sense?	Scott 417-20
	Are there some callings that should be subject to price	Hale, de Portibus Maris, at blog
	controls and regulation because they lend themselves to duress?	Natural Monopoly, at https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Natural monopoly
	Can you think of some	at https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ivaturai_monopoly
	unregulated industries that should properly be considered natural monopolies in need of	
	natural monopones in need of	

	regulation?	
5:	Unconscionability	
F:88	What do you think of Peter Birks' claim that unconscionability is to lawyers as "small brown birds" are to ornithologists?	Scott 52-65, 501-14 Lloyds Bank v. Bundy, at blog Rest. §§ 205-08, 206 (comment a) UCC § 2-302 Moral hazard, at blog
	Can signaling theories explain why parties might enter into what seem as one-sided bargains? Can courts do anything intelligently to police one-sided bargains?	Signaling, at blog
	Are fairness constraints deepwired in all of us, and if so does that have implications for common law rules?	Dictator game, at http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dictator_game Background reading: Kahneman, Knetsch and Thaler, Fairness as a Constraint on Profit Seeking: Entitlements in the Market, 76 Am. Econ. Rev. 728 (1986)
G:79	Terms How does one distinguish a collateral contract from an integrated agreement?	Collateral Contracts Scott 537-46
	Under Traynor's interpretation of integration, can the parties opt into the parol evidence rule? Under Burke's interpretation, can one opt out of the parol	Integration Scott 546-52 Rest. §§ 209-17

	evidence rule? Do the parties have a sufficient incentive to memorialize their agreement in a writing? What is the difference between an integrated and an unintegrated agreement? Between complete and partial integration?	
6: G:79-106	Terms (cont.) Can the parties, through a merger clause, bargain around the threshold question of whether a contract is completely integrated? Does "fraud corrupt all"?	Merger Clauses Scott 553-61 Rest. § 216 cmt. e, § 209, cmts. a-c.
	How do the Restatement and the UCC differ on these questions? Interpretation	The UCC Parole Evidence Rule Scott 561-68 UCC §§ 2-202, 2-316
H:107	In interpreting a contract (or a poem), should one seek to capture the writer's intention or merely look at the text? Are bright-line legal rules to be preferred to vague legal standards in contract law? When does it make most (least) sense to admit oral evidence as to the intention of the parties? When might a court look to trade usage in interpreting a contract?	Scott 568-93 Rest. §§ 200-04, 219-23 Scott 593-609, 401-03 (rules vs. standards) UCC §§ Revised 1-303, 2-202, 2-208
7: I:154	Conditions Some "conditions" are promises and some not. What's the	Scott 74-84

	1:00 11 1	D 4 4 00 152(1) 154 261
	difference and how does one	Restatement §§ 152(1), 154, 261
	tell them apart? Can these distinctions be understood as an attempt to provide the default	UCC §§ 2-507(1), 2-511(1)
	rules that the parties would have specified had they put their minds to it? When is a contract	Implied Conditions
	severable, where one condition fails?	Scott 613-24 (exclude Bell v. Elder)
	Of conditions that are not	Rest. §§ 224-27, 230, 234, 237-38, 240
	promises, how can one tell the difference between conditions precedent and subsequent?	UCC §§ 2-307, 2-612
	precedent and subsequent.	Express Conditions
		Scott 624-32, 634-36 (exclude Gray v. Gardner)
	What are the differences between	Modification, Estoppel, Waiver
	modification, estoppel and waiver?	Scott 636-49
		UCC §§ 2-209, Revised 1-306
8:	Warranties	
J:166	What is expected monetary value? Do you always accept a bet with the highest expected monetary value; and if not, why not? What is the "insurance idea" in tort law? As between a manufacturer/seller and a consumer, how many different reasons can you think of for	Expected Monetary Value, at http://www.dummies.com/how-to/content/how-to-calculate-expected-monetary-value-emv.html Pascal, The Wager, at blog Risk Neutral, at http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Risk_neutral Scott 775-78
	placing the risk of loss on the manufacturer/seller? What is "merchantability" and	Express and Implied Warranties
	"fitness"? What is an "as is" clause?	Scott 649-69
		UCC §§ 2-314 – 2-316, 2-501, 2-504

	What is the perfect tender rule? What are Cardozo's "dependent" and "independent" promises, and how do they compare to the different kinds of conditions we saw? Do you think that, had the parties specified their remedies in Jacob & Youngs v. Kent, they would have arrived at Cardozo's result? Or did Cardozo rewrite their bargain?	Substantial Performance vs. Perfect Tender Scott 66-74, 669-87 UCC §§ 2-601 Rest. §§ 237, 241-42, 227 Signaling, at blog Adverse selection, at http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Adverse_selection Just for fun: The Reading Pipe flyer, at https://archive.org/stream/ReadingPipeGenuineWroughtIronKnurledForInstantAndPositive/ReadingPipe#page/n0/mode/2up
	Acceptance, Waiver, Cure How is the UCC's perfect tender rule modified by its rules about acceptance and cure? Might cure rights at times be asserted opportunistically?	UCC §§ 2-106, 2-503, 2-507, 2-508, 2-601, 2-602, 2-606-08, 2-703, 2-705, 2-708, 2-709, 2-711-715
9: K:109	Mistake Were they to put their minds to it, what events would the parties specify in their bargain as ones that would bring their obligations to an end? When, by contrast, would they assign the risk of an event to one of the parties? Can the parties contract around the doctrine of mistake? What is the difference between unilateral and mutual mistake, and why should it matter? When should clerical mistakes	Force Majeure clauses (example 1 only), at http://ppp.worldbank.org/public-private-partnership/ppp-overview/practical-tools/checklists-and-risk-matrices/force-majeure-checklist/sample-clauses Scott 691-727 Restatement § 20, illustration 1-3 Restatement §§ 151-4, 157

	absolve a party?	
	Impossibility and Impracticability	
	What is the difference between mistake (on the one hand) and impossibility and impracticability (on the other)? What is the difference between impossibility and impracticability?	UCC §§ 2-613-615, 2-107, 2-501 Scott 84-94
10: L:109	Impossibility and Impracticability (cont.) Should there be an expanded doctrine of impracticability to deal with large price fluctuations?	Scott 84-94, 727-59 Restatement §§ 261-66, 152-53, 224, 230
M:43	Frustration Can you tell when to apply the doctrine of frustration and when the "work before pay" rule? How would you account for the common purpose requirement?	Scott 759-72 Just for fun: Coronation of Edward VII, at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rVNFeQe4Nhk
11: N:70	Anticipatory Breach Were bargaining costless, would the parties want to specify what remedies are available on breach? If the court knew what they would choose, should that inform its decision about remedies? When there is	Scott 778-97 Rest. §§ 237, 241, 250-57, 350 UCC §§ 2-507, 2-511, 2-609-611, 2-702

	a breach, what rule would the parties want to adopt—one that gave the breaching party an incentive to minimize damages or one that did not do so in order to punish him for the breach? If the goal is cost reduction, how would the party in breach be incentivized to minimize costs?	
O:93	The Contractual Measure of Damages What is the goal of a damages award? When is it appropriate to award reliance or restitutionary relief as opposed to expectation damages? Should the choice rest with the innocent party?	Scott 94-103, 841-46 Rest. §§ 344-53
12: P:50	Efficient Breach What is the efficient breach doctrine? What assumptions are made by the efficient breach theory and is there an efficient breach "fallacy"? Should a court award diminution of value damages when this is less than the cost of repair?	Scott 103-08, 846-56
P:52	When would the parties bargain for specific performance? In what sense is specific performance a discretionary remedy? What makes goods "unique"? In what sense does the question whether to award specific performance resemble problems we saw in Jacob and	Scott 108-15, 857-65 Rest. §§ 345, 357-67 UCC §§ 2-709, 2-716, 2-501

Q:1-92	Youngs v. Kent and Peevyhouse? Could a court order specific performance of a personal services contract? Reliance, Restitution When might reliance and restitutionary claims succeed when they would the expectation measure?	Scott 865-96 Rest. §§ 347, 349, 370-71, 373-77, 353, 355
13		
R; 44	Punitive Damages, Lost Volume Sellers Would efficient contract remedies seek to deter wasteful conduct?	Scott 896-907 UCC 2-706, 2-708, 2-710
	Emotional Distress	Scott 927-28
	What is the difference between exogenous and endogenous factors?	Rest. § 353
S:81	Uncertainty, Foreseeability, Mitigation, Penalty Clauses	Scott 96-99, 915-20, 115-24, 921-47 Rest. § 352, 350, 351, 356 UCC § 2-704, 2-718